	Case 2:13-cv-01089-RFB-GWF Document 63 F	Filed 04/01/14 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
<i>3</i>		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	Brandy Welch and Heather Blackmun, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 2:13-cv-01089-JAD-GWF
12		
13	Plaintiffs,	0.1.
14	VS.	Order
15	Golden Gate Casino, LLC dba Golden Gate Hotel & Casino, and Does 1 through 50,	
16	Defendants	
17	This is a wage dispute eation by former employe	oos of the Golden Gote Hetal & Gosine
18	This is a wage-dispute action by former employees of the Golden Gate Hotel & Casino.  Plaintiffs first amended their complaint in September 2013, alloging claims against the Golden Gote.	
19	Plaintiffs first amended their complaint in September 2013, alleging claims against the Golden Gate	
20	and four other defendants: Desert Rock Enterprises, LLC, Mark Brandenburg, Derek Stevens, and	
21	Dave Tuttle. See Doc. 14. All defendants save Brandenburg responded with motions to dismiss the	
22	First Amended Complaint. See Docs. 20, 21, 46. Plaintiffs also filed a motion for Court Supervised	
23	Notice of their FLSA claims. Doc. 38.	
24	The parties participated in a settlement conference and, although it did not result in the	
25	settlement of this case, it "was not unproductive." Doc. 60 at 2. As a result of the settlement	
26	conference, Plaintiffs have elected to proceed only against Golden Gate, and on March 12, 2014,	
27	these remaining parties filed a Stipulation to File a Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 57), which	
28	drops all claims against the other defendants and some of	of Plaintiff Welch's claims. Doc. 57-1. The

6 7

9 10

8

12

13

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

remaining parties' Stipulated Updated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Doc. 60) also foretells Golden Gate's intention to file a new motion to dismiss based on the allegations in the Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 60 at 5, ¶ I) and the plaintiffs' intention to file a new version of their motion for court-supervised notice sometime in October 2014. *Id.* at ¶ J. Magistrate Judge Foley signed the Stipulated Updated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order on March 26, 2014. Id. at 6.

Having reviewed the Stipulation to File the Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 57), and based upon the Stipulation of the remaining parties and the representations in the Updated Discovery Plan, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Stipulation to File Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 57) is approved; LEAVE IS GRANTED for the filing of the Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 57-1).

The Clerk of Court is instructed to file the Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 57-1) forthwith.

The filing of the Second Amended Complaint moots all pending motions. "An amended complaint supercedes the original complaint and renders it without legal effect." Lacey v. Maricopa Cnty., 693 F.3d 896, 927 (9th Cir. 2012). When a complaint is amended during the pendency of the motion to dismiss, the motion to dismiss is rendered moot. The filing of the Second Amended Complaint moots all of the pending motions to dismiss (Docs. 20, 21, 46) and the motion for courtsupervised notice (Doc. 38). And the remaining parties have already built into their scheduling order new deadlines for their revised and renewed versions of these requests. See Doc. 60. Accordingly, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants Golden Gate Casino and David Tuttle's renewed Partial Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 20), Desert Rock's Renewed Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 21), Plaintiffs' Motion for Circulation of Notice Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (Doc. 38), and Derek Stevens's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 46) are all DENIED as moot and without prejudice to their reassertion at a later time.

DATED: April 1, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE